ITEM NO.3 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

### Writ Petition (Civil) No.114/2014

COMMON CAUSE Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

UNION OF INDIA . & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With IA NO. 119651/2023-FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ON BEHALF OF MIDEAST INTEGRATED STEELS LTD., IA NOS.27088 AND 30300/2022- FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS, IA NO.42571/2023-FOR DIRECTIONS (4) ANNUAL REPORT 2021-22 OF THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK AND "OVERSIGHT AUTHORITY" OMBADC)

MR. HARISH N. SALVE, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MS. APARAJITA SINGH, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. A.D.N. RAO, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. SIDDHARTHA CHOWDHURY, ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. PRASHANT BHUSHANMR. GURMEET SINGH MAKKERMS. KIRTI R. MISHRAMR. ASHOK PANIGRAHIMR. NAVEEN KUMAR, ADVOCATES

Date: 10-07-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Ms. Aparajit Singh, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR Mrs. Neha Rathi, Adv. Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.

### For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mukul Kumar, AOR

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR

Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR

Mr. Naveen Kumar, AOR

Mr. Nitesh Bhandari, Adv.

Ms. Stuti Bisht, Adv.

Mr. Shourajeet Chakravarty, Adv.

Ms. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Rai, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, AOR

Ms. Geetanjali Das Krishnan, Adv.

Mr. Nabab Singh, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, AOR

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR

Ms. Movita, AOR

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

M/s. Aura & Co.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, AOR

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv. Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, AOR Ms. Sansriti Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Eklavya Diwvedi, Adv.

Mrs. Apurva Upmanyu, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR

Mr. Manoranjan Paikaray, Adv.

Mr. Shashwat Panda, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, AOR

Mr. Sunil Dogra, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Sharma, AOR

M/s. Khaitan & Co.

Mr. Ramendra Mohan Patnaik, AOR

Mr. Himinder Lal, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Ms. Saudamini Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Chinmayee Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.

Dr. Arun Kumar Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Khanna, AOR

Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, AOR

Mr. Debabrata Dash, Adv.

Mr. Niranjan Sahu, Adv.

Mrs. Prabhati Nayak, Adv.

Ms. Apoorva Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Dhananjaya Mishra, AOR

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, AOR

Mr. Preshit Vilas Surshe, AOR

Mr. Naveen Kumar, AOR

Mr. Nitesh Bhandari, Adv.

Ms. Stuti Bisht, Adv.

Mr. Shourajeet Chakravarty, Adv.

Ms. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Rai, Adv.

Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, AOR

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR

Mr. Madhav Maira, Adv.

M/s. Aura & Co.

Mr. Sandeep Devashish Das, AOR

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, AOR

Ms. Geetanjali Das Krishnan, Adv.

Mr. Nabab Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR

Ms. Warisha Farasat, Adv.

Mr. Harshit Anand, Adv.

Mr. Aman Naqvi, Adv.

Ms. Hrishika Jain, Adv.

Ms. Natasha Maheshawari, Adv.

Ms. Mreganka Kukreja, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.

# UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

### IA No 119651 of 2023

- 1 We are not inclined to entertain the Interlocutory Application having regard to the self operative consequence envisaged in the order dated 6 April 2023.
- 2 The Interlocutory Application is dismissed.

#### IA Nos 27088 & 30300 of 2022

- 1 IA No 27088 of 2022 for impleadment is allowed.
- In paragraph 13 of the Interlocutory Application for directions (IA No 30300/2022), the applicant states that the Central Empowered Committee (CEC) by its report dated 25 April 2014 had included the name of the applicant in Annexure R-3, namely, in the list of non-working leases determined/lapsed/rejected. However, it has been submitted that the CEC did not refer to the subsequent order dated 25 August 2008 of the revisional authority by which the order of the State Government dated 29 March 2007 was set aside. By the order dated 29 March 2007, the renewal application of the lease holder, had been rejected.
- The *Amicus Curiae* and senior counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Odisha join in stating that it is not in dispute that the inadvertent error in the report of the CEC dated 25 April 2014 has since been corrected. This also emerges from the report which has been submitted by the CEC on 7 July 2022.
- In view of the above factual clarification, no further directions are necessary in these Interlocutory Applications. It is also clarified that this order shall not prejudice any pending proceedings or their conclusion in accordance with

law.

5 The Interlocutory Applications are accordingly disposed of.

## IA No 42571 of 2023

1 List the Interlocutory Application on 11 August 2023.

(CHETAN KUMAR) A.R.-cum-P.S. (SAROJ KUMARI GAUR) Assistant Registrar