ITEM NO.15 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.114/2014

COMMON CAUSE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(1) IA NOS. 121114/2021, 121119/2021 AND 55025/2022 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT, MODIFICATION OF ORDER DT. 16.05.2014 AND TO PLACE ON DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF AVIN JAIN)(2) IA NO. RECORD ADDL. 112331/2020 (APPLICATION FOR DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF NARAYANI SONS INDIA PVT. LTD.)(3) APPLICATION NO. 11930/2021 (APPLICATION FOR DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF NARAYANI SONS) (LAUPADA IRON ORE MINES) (4) IA NO. 142067/2021 (APPLICATION FOR DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF DINESH CHANDRA DAS)(5) IA NOS. 27088 AND 30300/2022 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF SANJAY KR. PAUL) (6) IA NOS. 49052 AND 49058/2022 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF ARJUN LADHA)(7) IA NO. 51759/2021 (APPLN. FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ON BEHALF OF MIDEAST INTEGRATED STEELS LTD.)(8) IA NO. BEHALF OF STEEL 153048/2021 (APPLICATION FOR DIRECTIONS ON AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.) "ONLY" IN W.P.(C) NO. 114/2014 IS LISTED.NAMES OF THE FOLLOWING ADVOCATES MAY BE TREATED TO HAVE BEEN SHOWN IN THE LISTMR. HARISH N. SALVE, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MS. APARAJITA SINGH, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. A.D.N. RAO, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. SIDDHARTHA CHOWDHURY, ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. PRASHANT BHUSHANMR. GURMEET SINGH MAKKERMS. KIRTI R. MISHRAMR. NAVEEN KUMARMR. DHANANJAYA MISHRA, MR. ASHOK PANIGRAHI, MR. SUNIL KUMAR JAIN, ADVOCATES)

Date: 20-04-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, AOR Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Sunil Dogra, Adv. Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Sharma, AOR

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SGI

Ms. Rashika Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR

M/S. Khaitan & Co., AOR

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR

Mr. Ramendra Mohan Patnaik, AOR

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

Ms. Nikita Jaydenan, Adv.

Ms. V. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Nabab Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, AOR

Mr. Himinder Lal, AOR

Mr. A.K. Parija, AG

Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, AOR

Ms. Apurva Upmanya, Adv.

Mr. Arnab Dash, Adv.

Mr. Avnish Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Manoranjan Paikaray, Adv.

Mr. Shashwat Panda, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Chandra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Ms. Movita, AOR

Mr. Mukul Kumar, AOR

M/S. Aura & Co., AOR

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, AOR

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nitesh Bhandari, Adv.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Rai, Adv.

Mr. Shourajeet Chakravarty, Adv.

Ms. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, AOR

Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, AOR

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG

Ms. V. Mohana, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Atulesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.

Mr. Chetan Joshi, Adv.

Ms. Saudamini Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Chinmayee Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Sreemuthin, Adv.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR

Mr. Sandeep Devashish Das, AOR

Mr. Svyambhuv Talwar, Adv.

Mr. Dhananjaya Mishra, AOR

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR

Mr. Preshit Vilas Surshe, AOR

Mr. A.S. Nadkarni, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, AOR

Mr. Shashank Khurana, Adv.

Mr. Janmey Jay Upadhyay, Adv.

Ms. Shrinjan Khosla, Adv.

Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

MS. JYOTI SRIVASTAVA, ADV.

Mr. Sanjay S. Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.

Mr. S. Ashok Reddy, Adv.

Mr. T.N. Rama Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. NO.12114/2020, I.A. NO.121119/2021 AND I.A. NO.153048/2021:-

- 1. In view of the averments made in the application seeking impleadment, the said application is allowed.
- Cause title be amended accordingly.
- 3. Having heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and taking into consideration the averments made in the said Interlocutory Applications, coupled with the fact that the learned Advocate General appearing for the State of Odisha has no objection to the same, the said applications are allowed in terms of prayer clauses at (a) and (b) in I.A. No.121119/2021 and prayer clause at (a) in I.A. No.153048/2021.

I.A. NO.112331/2020:-

1. Having heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and taking into consideration the averments made in the said Interlocutory Application, the said application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) to (c), subject to payment of compensation and balance amount of interest by the applicant.

I.A. NO.11930/2020:-

1. Having heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and taking into consideration the averments made in the Interlocutory Application, the said application is allowed in terms of prayer at clauses (a) to (c).

I.A. NO.142067/2021:-

1. Having heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and taking into consideration the averments made in the said Interlocutory Application, the said application is allowed in terms of prayer at clauses (I) to (II).

I.A.NO.30300/2022:-

- 1. Heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
- 2. Taking into consideration the averments made in the Interlocutory Application, the prayer at clause (b) is allowed.
- 3. As regards the prayer at clause (c), two weeks' time from today is granted to the CEC to file a report.

I.A. No.49058/2022:-

- 1. Heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
- 2. In view of the averments made in the application seeking impleadment, the said application is allowed.
- 3. Cause title be amended accordingly.
- 4. As regards the prayer at clause (b), the applicant would be at liberty to file a fresh application.

I.A. NO.51759/2021:-

- 1. Heard learned counsel/learned Senior counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
- 2. Taking into consideration the averments made in the Interlocutory Application, we deem it appropriate to extend the time by six months from today for disposal of unsold stocks of iron ore of different grades and sizes which has been extracted, processed and stacked at the dispatch site within the lease hold area prior to 31.12.2017.
- 3. The application is allowed on the afore-stated terms.

I.A. No.54184/2022:-

- 1. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the applicant submits that he has filed this application seeking certain directions.
- 2. Let a counter affidavit be filed in reply to the said application.